# Ryan White Part A Program Disparities Calculator Reading Guide

# Background

The Phoenix EMA's Clinical Quality Management team developed a semi-automated disparities calculator to review health disparities for almost 200 subpopulations of people with HIV in our care system. This Disparities Calculator took the guess work out of the equation and reduced the time needed to evaluate disparities. This reading guide will help you to interpret the Calculator results, so please read it carefully.

# When is data coming up?

#### **Reporting Periods**

#### Data Presentation

Spring: April to March (1<sup>st</sup> Quarter)
Summer: July to June (2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter)
Fall: October to September (3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter)
Winter: January to December – DRAFT (4<sup>th</sup> Quarter)
Annual: January to December (4<sup>th</sup> Quarter)

April CQM meeting October CQM meeting December CQM meeting February CQM meeting June CQM meeting Last week of April Last week of October Last week of December Only Service Category Continuum Released Last week of July

**Release Dates** 

### RWPA Disparities Calculator Reporting Period

Suppression rates less than 10% compared to a average. For example, if the RWPA average suppre- an acute disparity would be a suppression rate of	he RWPA ession is 85%, 74% or lower	Suppression rates less than 1% to 4% co average. For example, if the RWPA avera small disparity would a suppression rate	ompared to the RWPA ge suppression is 85%, a between 84% and 81%.
Population/Subpopulation% SuppressedAll RWPA Clients85%		Population/Subpopulation% SuppressionAll RWPA Clients85%	essed
Disparity Description: <-10% Acute Dispa	rity -5%-10% Mild Disparit	y -4% to -1% Small Disparity >0%	No Disparity
Sig at a 95% CI, p<0.05 Compared to the RWPA aver	age		
Statistical Significance definition: A 95% Confidence Interval (CI) means that we are 95% confident that the disparity or improvement is statistically significant and not due to chance.	Suppression rates less that average. For example, if the mild disparity would be a sup Population/Subpop All RWPA Clients	n 5% to 10% compared to the RWPA e RWPA average suppression is 85%, a ppression rate between 80% and 75%. ulation % Suppressed 85%	Any viral suppression higher than the RWPA average is not a disparityPopulation/Subpopulation% Suppressed 85%All RWPA Clients85%

## Blue Section – Overall Section (Pages 1 to 3)

Population/Subpopulation	Total	Unsuppressed	Suppressed	% Suppressed	Disparity	Sig Disparity?
All RWPA Clients	X,XXX	XXX	ХХХ	85%		
Men	X,XXX	XXX	XXX	84%	<b>~</b> -1%	Not Sig
Female	ХХХ	XXX	ХХХ	88%	<u> </u>	Not Sig

All subpopulation suppression rates are compared to the **RWPA average**. Example: Male clients show a mild disparity for suppression (84%) compared to All RWPA (85%). 85% - 84% = -1% There is an improvement on Female suppression (88%) and the RWPA average (85%). 85% - 88% = 2%

#### All suppression rates in the blue section are compared to the RWPA average.

Data source and reporting period's start and end date

Page number

Date when the calculator was run

RWPA CAREWare Data MM/DD/YYYY - MM/DD/YYYY

### Light Blue Section – Newly Diagnosed Section (Page 4)

Population/Subpopulation	Total	Unsuppressed	Suppressed	% Suppressed	Disparity	Sig Disparity
All Newly DX Clients	XXX	XX	XXX	60%		
Men	XXX	XX	XX	59%	-1%	Not Sig
Female	XX	XX	XX	61%		Not Sig
Transwomen	Х	Х	Х	55%	-5%	Not Sig

All subpopulation suppression rates are compared to the **newly diagnosed** average.

For example, there is a mild disparity on the Men suppression (59%) and the average (60%). 59% - 60% = -1% There is an improvement on Female suppression (61%) and the average (60%). 60% - 61% = 1%

All suppression rates in the light blue section are compared to the newly diagnosed average.

#### Purple Section – Housing Section (Page 5)

Population/Subpopulation	Total	Unsuppressed	Suppressed	% Suppressed	Disparity	Sig Disparity
All Stably House Clients	X,XXX	XXX	XXX	89%		
Men	X,XXX	XXX	XXX	88%	-1%	
Female	XXX	XX	XXX	90%	1%	
Transwomen	XX	XX	XX	84%	-5%	

All subpopulation suppression rates are compared to the <u>stably</u> housed average. For example, there is a mild disparity on the Men suppression (88%) and the average (89%) = -1% There is an improvement on Female suppression (90%) and

the average (89%) = 1%

All suppression rates in the light purple section are compared to the stably housed average.

All subpopulation suppression rates are compared to the <u>unstably</u> housed average. For example, there is a mild disparity on the Men suppression

(64%) and the average (65%) = -1% There is an improvement on Female suppression (66%) and the average (65%) = 1%

# All suppression rates in the strong purple section are compared to the unstably housed average.

Population/Subpopulation	Total	Unsuppressed	Suppressed	% Suppressed	Disparity	Sig Disparity
All Unstably House Clients	XXX	XXX	XXX	65%		
Men	XXX	XXX	XXX	64% 🔶	-1%	
Female	XX	XX	XX	66% 🚽	<sub>1%</sub>	
Transwomen	XX	XX	XX	60%	-5%	

#### **Green Section – Location Section (Page 6)**

Population/Subpopulation	Total	Unsuppressed	Suppressed	% Suppressed	Disparity	Sig Disparity
All Maricopa County	X,XXX	ХХХ	ХХХ	85%		
Phoenix	X,XXX	XXX	XXXX	83%	-2%	Not Sig
Mesa	XXX	XX	XXX	87% 🔶	<u> </u>	Not Sig
	-	-	-		· /	-

All subpopulation suppression rates are compared to the <u>Maricopa County</u> average. For example, there is a mild disparity on the Phoenix suppression (83%) and the average (85%) = -2% There is an improvement on Mesa suppression (87%) and the average (85%) = 2%

All suppression rates in the green section are compared to the Maricopa average.

